

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion to Govern Open Access to Bottleneck Services and Establish A Framework for Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks.

Rulemaking 93-04-003
(Filed April 7, 1993)

Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks.

Investigation 93-04-002
(Filed April 7, 1993)

(Verizon UNE Phase)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
CONTAINING NINTH SCHEDULE REVISION**

On September 8, 2004, counsel for AT&T Communications of California, Inc. and Verizon California phoned the undersigned Administrative Law Judge requesting a four-week extension for the filing of rebuttal comments in this matter. Given the request is mutually agreed upon and allows the parties to cooperatively resolve ongoing discovery issues, the request is granted.

The new schedule for the remainder of this case is as follows:

November 9, 2004	Rebuttal Comments on cost studies/models.
December 1, 2004	Deadline for motions requesting hearings on cost studies/models and UNE pricing issues contained in filings to date. ¹
December 21, 2004	Reply comments on Price Floor Issues.
February 8, 2005	Rebuttal comments on Price Floor Issues.
February 25, 2005	Deadline for motions requesting hearings on Price Floor issues. ²
March 18, 2005	Ruling on need for hearings on cost studies, modeling, UNE pricing, and Price Floor issues and submission of case if hearings not required.
June 20, 2005	Proposed Decision Issued (if hearings not required).

If Hearings Required:

Date to be determined	Evidentiary Hearings
Date to be determined	Concurrent opening briefs
Date to be determined	Reply briefs and case submitted
Date to be determined	Proposed Decision issued

A copy of all filings should be sent electronically to the service list and to ALJ Dorothy Duda at dot@cpuc.ca.gov.

¹ Any motions must justify the need for an evidentiary hearing by identifying the material disputed factual issues on which hearing should be held. In addition, any motion should identify the general nature of the evidence the party proposes to introduce at the requested hearing. Any right a party may otherwise have to an evidentiary hearing for the presentation of facts will be waived if the party does not follow the above procedure for a timely request.

² Motions should comply with the conditions described in footnote 1 above.

IT IS RULED that the schedule for the permanent phase of this proceeding is revised as set forth in this ruling.

Dated October 14, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ DOROTHY J. DUDA

Dorothy J. Duda
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail, and by electronic mail to the parties who have provided an electronic mail address, this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Containing Ninth Schedule Revision on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated October 14, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ELIZABETH LEWIS
Elizabeth Lewis

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074, TTY 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.